Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/575,061	TARGAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gailene R. Gabel	1641	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

(1) *Gailene R. Gabel*. (3) _____.

(2) *Joseph Snyder*. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: *27 April 2006* **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
of record

Claims discussed:
of record

Prior art documents discussed:
NONE, only scope of enablement issues.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Snyder gave the Office authorization to amend claim 18, steps b) and e) to change the term "dilution" to "portion" in an Examiner's amendment. Further, Mr. Snyder was notified of the Office's decision to grant rejoinder and allowance of claim 22, along with the allowed claims. Accordingly, claims 1-7 and 14-22 are being allowed.